## **Exact Pareto-Optimal Coordination of Two Translating Polygonal Robots on an Acyclic Roadmap**

Hamidreza Chitsaz, Jason M. O'Kane and Steven M. LaValle

{chitsaz, jokane, lavalle}@cs.uiuc.edu.



## **Introduction**

We want to study coordination strategies for robots in <sup>a</sup> shared workspace. We allow to individual robots to have separate performance measures.

Problem: Find collision-free motion strategies that are optimal in <sup>a</sup> multi-objective sense.

Applications:

- AGVs in <sup>a</sup> factory setting.
- General multiple robot coordination.

### **Introduction**



## **Overview**

- Related Work
- Problem Statement
- Robots on Fixed Paths
- Generalization to Roadmaps
- **Examples**

## **Related Work**

#### Centralized Methods

Schwartz and Sharir, 1983 Ardema and Skowronski, 1991 Barraquand and Latombe, 1991

### Decentralized ("Fixed Path") Methods

Erdmann and Lozano-Perez, 1986 Akella and Hutchinson, 2002 Siméon, Leroy and Laumond 2002 Peng and Akella, 2003

#### Roadmap Methods

LaValle and Hutchinson, 1998

## **Problem Statement**

Two polygonal robots  $\mathcal{R}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2$  translating in the plane.

- Robots move on roadmaps  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2$  of piecewise-linear paths.
- Initial and goal configurations  $X_i^{init}, X_i^{goal} \in \mathcal{G}_i.$
- Allow instantaneous changes in speed.

Objective: Find <sup>a</sup> continuous collision-free path

$$
C:[0,1]\to \mathcal{G}_1\times \mathcal{G}_2
$$

from  $(X_1^{init}, X_2^{init})$  to  $(X_1^{goal}, X_2^{goal})$ . ICRA

For a given coordination, each robot has <sup>a</sup> cost function:

$$
J=(J_1,J_2)
$$

One approach is to choose <sup>a</sup> scalarization function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  and optimize  $f(J)$ .

- Scalarizing may omit interesting solutions.
- Priorities may change across multiple queries.
- Better to find <sup>a</sup> small set of good candidate solutions.

## **Pareto Optimality**

Rather than choosing any particular scalarization, we find the set of **Pareto optimal** solutions.

Create equivalence classes of paths with identical costs.

$$
C \sim C' := J(C) = J(C')
$$

Define <sup>a</sup> partial order on equivalence classes:

 $[C] \leq [C'] := J_1(C) \leq J_1(C') \wedge J_2(C) \leq J_2(C')$ 

Pareto optima are the minima in this partial order.

## **Coordination Space**

O'Donnell and Lozano-Perez, 1989

We want to find a path through the *coordination* space  $\mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2$ .

Obstacle regions where  $\mathcal{R}_1$  collides with  $\mathcal{R}_2.$ 

The slope of this curve determines the velocity of each robot.

- Slope  $\geq 1$ :  $\mathcal{R}_2$  at full speed
- Slope  $\leq 1: \mathcal{R}_1$  at full speed.

Time to execute a segment is its  $L^\infty$  length.

Lemma: Every Pareto-optimal coordination class contains a coordination composed of segments of the visibility graph of the obstacle set, plus possibly <sup>a</sup> "full speed completion."

Proof Ideas:

- Given any path, "shorten" it until it's constrained by obstacle vertices.
- After moving past the last obstruction, both robots should move at full speed to their goals.

## **Optima for Fixed Paths**

### Algorithm:

- Compute the obstacle set.
- Find the visibility graph of obstacle set.
- Add <sup>a</sup> full-speed completion from each vertex for which this is possible.
- Use Dijkstra's algorithm to extract <sup>a</sup> set of candidate solutions.
	- Candidate <sup>=</sup> Shortest path to obstacle vertex + full-speed completion.
- Use direct comparisons to eliminate candidates that are not Pareto optima.











## **Example**



## **Acyclic Roadmaps**

 $\mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_1$  is a collection of 2-dimensional cells pasted together at their boundaries.



Same method works. Only need <sup>a</sup> technique to compute the visibility graph.

Standard algorithm for  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (Lee, 1978): Radial sweep about each vertex. Maintain a balanced tree of intersected segments.  $O(n^2 \log n)$  time.

Our extension: Radial sweep in  $G_1 \times G_2$ . Maintain a separate balanced tree in each for each cell.

- A ray in  $\mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2$  passes through at most  $2m$ cells, where  $m$  is the total number of edges.
- At most  $2m$  binary tree operations to process each event.
- $O(mn^2 \log n)$  time.

## **Example**



# **Conclusion**

- Pareto optimality is an important solution concept for multiple robot coordination.
- Presented an  $O(m^2n\log n)$  time algorithm to compute all Pareto optima for problems with  $m$ edges in the roadmaps and  $n$  obstacle vertices.
- Future Work:
	- $\,n$  robots. (with R. Ghrist)
	- Cyclic graphs.